

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/075,284 02/15/2002 Kazuaki Sasaki H&A-107 9039 **EXAMINER** 7590 04/27/2004 MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. MANTIS MERCADER, ELENI M 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 370 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Alexandria, VA 22314 3737 DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# \		\bigvee
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/075,284	SASAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eleni Mantis Mercader	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.14 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 2.15 at a second ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	Fehruary 2004	
	r ebruary 2004. his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment/s)		
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendments introducing at least these limitations "continuous insonation time...while experiencing said cavitation" and "continuous insonation time...while said exposed region is generating said audible sound" constitute new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims1 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rosenchein et al.'558.

Rosenchein et al.'558 teach setting a therapeutic transducer to operate at a continuous mode insonation in order to maintain cavitation at a region of interest to be treated (see col. 3, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 1-34) while the operator is allowed to watch or listen (see col. 5, lines 4-19) from a display and/or a microphone and determine whether cavitation is occurring under the desired conditions (col. 7, lines 60-67 and col. 8, lines 1-31). The microphone provides the audible sound, which is correlated with the occurrence of cavitation (col. 8, lines 18-31).

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenchein et al. '558.

While Rosenchein et al. '558 teach use of the microphone to determine whether cavitation is occurring at the desired conditions as stated above, there is no explicit teaching that the frequency detected is twice that of the transmitted ultrasound. However, since Rosenchein et al. '558 describe the capability to determine from the sound the presence and maintenance of cavitation (see col. 8, lines 18-31), then it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made that the detected frequencies (see col. 5, lines 12-19; describing detection of frequencies from the microphone) would be those emitted at the occurrence and maintenance of cavitation, thereby including those at a frequency of twice of the emitted ultrasound therapy.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenchein et al.'558 in view of Acker et al.'774, of record.

While Rosenchein et al.'558 teach a warning signal (thereby an alarm) in association with feedback when the conditions are not met (see col. 8, lines 18-31), they do not explicitly teach warning when a set value is reached.

Art Unit: 3737

Acker et al.'774 teach means for generating an alarm when received signal intensity of harmonics of said therapeutic ultrasound reaches a set value or more (see col. 13, lines 21-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to have modified Rosenchein et al.'558 and incorporated the teachings of Acker et al.'774 as an alternative way of alarming the operator of the non desired therapeutic conditions.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3737

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni Mantis Mercader whose telephone number is 703 308-0899. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 8:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eleni Mantis Mercader Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737